

ON THE ANGEL

In ancient times there was a diatribe among the various experts on realisation. Here it is: if sentient beings (whoever they are) realize the Thusness (whatever it is) of the place where they are, do they remain detached in their own paradise or is it necessary that they be immersed in the phenomenal world with their mind and all the consequential separations?

Thusness means “just as it is”, but not Thusness “just as it is” with the words of the common sentient being. It is Thusness with no words, which in that being mirrors reality.

Well, we could say: Reality “just as it is” is the Consciousness of the Void, of Thusness, of Creation, of the very nature of the whys of the Creation of the Absolute. Therefore, in saying “Reality” we indicate Reality with a capital R. Not that with the small “r”, the subjective and illusory reality of the common sentient being, but the Reality of the Spiritual Entity that underlies life, the existence of the common sentient being.

The Spiritual Entity is a single point in the Absolute, therefore there is no other, there is no me, you, him, her, us, them, there is only the Nature of Creation “just as it is”.

A sentient being who achieves realisation with that Nature is given many names by the various religions. Often religions are like smoke-screen systems that mislead people away from God. Unfortunately those who believe in various rituals move further and further away from God, because they put into effect systems constructed by the minds of men who make sentient beings uncomfortable with the “Others” and confront them, with other religions, with a self that is in opposition to other beings.

Normally those who belong to a religion enter in conflict with the “others”, and therefore against the “others”. The more you are religious, and believe you are on the path towards a “type” of God, the more you draw away from the Absolute, because you become part of a “human species”, a group, an ethnic group, a tribe; you become part of those who separate themselves.

This is neither the will of the Absolute nor is it the will of God, and therefore, unfortunately, the way towards Him is made difficult with any sort of ritual. Such a system of separation should be eliminated, in order to try and “see” that “Beyond” which interests us.

If I attain a profound vision and perception of Thusness, “just as is” the nature of all things, and become a being whose spiritual nature is no longer subjected to the illusoriness of material things, I find myself on this path, without end, separated by the law of cause-effect, separated by the phenomenal world, separated by mental processes...

The question asked and which received several answers was this: “if sentient beings who have attained Realisation: perceiving the very Nature of Thusness; perceiving the illusory nature of all beings; perceiving the illusory nature of the phenomenal world (cause-effect law); perceiving that their own nature is that elusive spiritual body, not knowing in depth all the variations of the phenomenal world, of the identity, of what is mine, of being, of non-being, how can they help sentient beings?”

Therefore, if Spiritual Nature implies a harmonious condition, paradise, bliss, It eliminates complete and total immersion in the world of phenomena and, specifically, in the world of minds and separation.

How can someone who does not know the sufferer plan the best system to cure those who suffer?

Here it seemed that those who attained Realisation, in order to fulfil their vow to help all sentient beings, had to tread their own path again; otherwise they would have been too detached from them.

How can you help those who are ill if you do not know what is tormenting them? How can you understand a thought if you cannot formulate one? How can you understand those who believe in any specific “ego” if you have no experience of it yourself?

Is the observation of a not “immersed” Realized-One of any value for the study of mental processes? Or is it of value only when the Realized-One goes over the errors of values, of the apparent disharmony of chaos again?

Chaos is deterministic, that is, it is guided chaos for the ascertainment of freedom. Only if we are immersed in an apparent but deterministic endless chaos can we become aware of endless freedom. If we cannot choose where to go, how can we manage?

In order to choose and learn to navigate there must be a rough sea, and the sea is nearly always rough, so we will be able to choose how to proceed just as we please.

The nature of events teaches, always and anyway. We must take part in the nature of events that strikes us.

Irony is fundamental to truly understanding the illusory nature of all beings.

There are those who believe and don't believe in many things and it seems right that there exist “wavering”, oscillating beings, with problems of varying type and nature, but it is of no interest to me: no “support”, out of respect for their choices in their freedom.

We must accept every type of situation; each situation in any case possesses a learning aspect in as much as it shows the conditions in which sentient beings find themselves. If we are not “immersed”, how can we know the torment and the mental movements of those who, suffering, present their destiny, their needs, present the “little figure” they exhibit to the mirror? They looked into the mirror, if demons look into the mirror they see a demon, if saints look into mirror they see a saint. Each one shows what they are, but then again, if we cannot mentally experience what the other one is, just a little, it's impossible to think of any support. We cannot just cut the figure of the good Realized-One who are not “grazed” by anything, who have Thusness all to themselves, who always carry Paradise and the Interaction Net with them and claim that “nothing touches them”. If nothing “touches” you, then even learning the mental processes of the subject you should be studying touches you.

In this diatribe some suggested that in any case they would be immaculate. The Spirit of all beings remains immaculate. I don't make any distinction between the Spirit of a Realized-One and the Spirit of the most “filthy” fabricator of hate on earth. The spiritual situation, deep inside, of any devil with the worst possible horns is no different from that of the highest among Realized-Ones, because Creation makes us all of the same stuff. The others don't exist, but they make us all the feature of their own searching, of their own freedom, their own progressing and their own learning.

We are also the feature of their seeking.

I am available to observing all manner of atrocities; I've always said so throughout my entire progress along the path. Let us see all the atrocities there are to be seen!

It's all the same!

However, it is clear that you need to be structured at a psychological and inner level in order to propose such learning. The learning of those who are obliged, not to stay in Nirvana, but to immerse themselves in the system of selves, of what's mine, of acquisition, loss, gain, existence, religions and all the fairy tales in order to drive sentient beings along paths of learning in error and in chaos. When we begin to say there must not be cheating, we end up like Pomponio de Algerio (1).

Every religion has its own "Pomponios" who must be eliminated with violence (in Buddhism it's different because there it's the others who eliminate them). There's been a fundamental error in teaching the Nature of Realization, for sure if I were to hypothesize the best "evolved being" I would do so erroneously on the basis of what that being said in order to lay the foundations of what has been constructed on top of it.

All words are nice, but then they result in killings and violence, in a process of hate triggered off by the system itself, because it is composed of "free" beings. Can, therefore, a Realized-One stay on in a personal Paradise... inner? Outer? Indefinable really.

At an external level sentient beings, immersed in the wheel of existence, in the pain of events, seem not to experience Paradise, but the external Paradise is a subtle nuance, of mist that embraces, and it has little impact in the interior Paradise.

Do you know what I care about what happens? Nothing (out of respect for the perfection of Creation). But if I'm not allowed to do what most amuses me I get mad. Do you know what I do when I get mad? Nothing.

But the problem is precisely this, because if I do nothing the Base sends intuitions that say: "What's going on?" Who is disturbing he who is doing "nothing"?"

"A world, a system, sentient beings."

"And what did he want to do?"

"He wanted to give food to all those beings that were starving to death."

And what are your intentions? Good? Do you want to feed all those who are starving to death? Good! Proceed!

I'm all for action-NON action/NON-action action.

The nature of a sentient being who realizes certain thoughts is just a little bit of... (perhaps madness) perhaps wisdom... you are immersed in reading and you are beginning to understand. Continue being immersed, to know human nature in all its aspects of His Freedom, not its errors. In His Freedom there is always the possibility to save sentient beings.

A seeker must be immersed in the Nature of Things with all the pain, torments, agonies, must be immersed whoever it is: seeker, realized one, or whatever.

The Base settles everything and, when It moves because something "doesn't add up", everything disappears, events, life, death, the terror of ending.

Where's the problem? Immersed for ever, in eternity, in the Nature of All Events.

What realisation in Paradise! Paradise is in the Nature of All Events if you have it in you.

"Something terrible happened, did you see what they did and what they said?"

Did with who? With the Nature of All Events? But I'm not the "other"!

If I were the other and they'd said to me: "do you know what they did to the other? They organised such and such pain..." I'd have replied: "ah, the other. Let the other suffer". But which other? There is no "other" here." Consequently, since you are still "others", be careful, as "others", to live observing, studying and understanding.

So you have understood that this teaching is organised and perhaps premeditated. Do you know what there is in the premeditation (in the Base) of teaching? No

aspect, neither of goodness nor of evil. Why? Because there is no “other” and it’s all the same.

There is someone who wants to make a choice between good and evil, as if evil existed in the Absolute, there is learning, and there is goodness. Goodness for those that say it is good and evil for those that say it is evil! And for those that say nothing, what is it?... and what is it for those that say: “ok you have chosen this, but are you sure? Do you know the consequences?”

Let everyone proceed each for themselves and God for all, “others and non-others”! The choices of the researcher are focused only on one thing: hunger in the world. Have you noticed? Now you pay all the same! Bread for all!

You should have understood, perhaps, that immersion in the Nature of All Things is only immersion in the apparent deterministic chaos, the cause-effect phenomenal world, where you are in the school of the Absolute. Well then, learn!

“Look how many things I’m learning and what the events of life are teaching me. See where I’ve ended up!”

For some of the time it’s in one way, then in another way...

Once in a while a bit of sacrifice looks impressive. “Did you see, he sacrificed himself for us!” Sure he did! You sacrificed yourselves because you are you, him, her, them, the other.

The Other, the one that has no “others”, makes no sacrifice because inside is the indescribable evolutionary Harmony.

To answer those Realized-Ones that asked: the problem is that Paradise is neither inside, nor outside and the person defining it is speaking about something he knows not.

There are those who speak of Paradise, those who experience Paradise and those who want Paradise on earth losing “for now” the hope of finding it.

Paradise is not something that is inside or outside an individual, because there is no “inside” and “outside”. This is precisely it: Paradise is not defined by sentient beings; it cannot be positioned in a person while excluding another; it cannot be positioned outside oneself or in some place that we “have to go”.

Religions organise it somewhere else so that the poor ignorant people, trained as required to reach paradise, behave according to rules that will lead them backwards. Then, for some, going to paradise means killing the highest possible number of believers of another religion.

Why is this game so cruel with the involved parties? Because they are the same parties that construct the “others” to organise it. And if instead of organising this game, we organised Paradise... undefined?

Is it possible to determine paradise? Is it possible to determine the teachings of the Absolute?! You are blaspheming! You are determining the intentions of the Absolute.

Sentient beings have supplanted the Law of the Absolute who placed the Infinite and Eternity (Paradise) inside the sentient being, in the surroundings of the Universe, inside the Universe and everywhere because the Absolute Himself is Paradise. If you do not have Paradise you have nothing.

Paradise is the perception of the Perfection of the Void, of Creation, of Thusness... then you possess Paradise! It is with that Paradise that you immerse yourself in I, mine, the sentient being, the mental process. How else would one know the sick person?

We are only “sick” from being separated from the Great Loved One, who Separated Himself on purpose and told you: “You and I are only I”. You replied: “If we are only

I, there's only You, then I don't exist". And He replied: "you too, be an infinitesimal I". But sentient beings are infinite in number and each one is an infinitesimal I.

Then, as we proceed along the path, we become aware that we are One Unique Infinite... Absolute and stop interrupting our harmony with the "others", Paradise, realisation, etc. and finally we meet again. Then we no longer worry only about survival, worried about our minds, our identities and our selves, and we live, peacefully and untroubled, carrying Paradise wherever we go:

Then if some people join a religion because they think that paradise has to be "earned", we can explain and free them from the impediment of believing that there is someone, instead of the Absolute, who distributes paradises. It's blasphemous! Paradise is Given only by the Absolute, you cannot earn it following the acts of a religion that separates you from Him, making you believe that it can act as an intermediary.

You cannot be separated from the Absolute because you are a chain of "rings". They call them strings but at the beginning it is one single bosonic ring, it is a ring where the vow is made from the moment you were born, as an Angel, and does not end when you achieve realisation, because you will always have infinite universes in which to immerge yourself, study, analyze, perceive and then find a system to transmit the beauty of the Perfection of the Absolute in His Creation, in the creation of the universe, in the creation of us, in the Unique Creation where He is Himself. There aren't two divinities, there aren't two "gods".

In religions everyone speaks of their own God, including buddhism that has no God. It has a Buddha who teaches the Emptyness of Emptyness, who also comes from the events that tend to throw mud at you and slander you, to create conditions of torment for you. You must progress, proceed... learn all is good for you, it toughens you.

Remember poor Pomponio, he smiled at all those who felt pleasure in watching die and were waiting to see burn, scream, yell, ask for mercy. Instead, he watched the people around him, smiling peacefully and serenely. This was because the Base, not approving what was being done to him, did not make him feel anything.

The point is that only through real experience can you understand. You often see and learn things through pain, but it is not "pain", it is learning.

If the Lord has given you that to learn, then learn! You cannot avoid pain hoping to be a superhero or saying "I'm getting out of pain because I am reincarnated".

"I want to be the happiest man on earth" and what do you do? "I do nothing and I meditate". You are an egotist, you are not the happiest man on Earth, you are somebody who is only concerned with his own affairs and risks nothing.

Realisation, or any level of research, contains all aspects of the sea... "if the sea is rough pay the bill and learn".

Who makes the vow? The vow belongs to the past and is obsolete, it doesn't exist. What exists is a very precise condition, that of fulfilling the level of your learning. If you say you want to liberate the world from slavery, as soon as you move they shoot you: "I want to be Gandhi" and they shoot you; "I want to be Martin Luther King" and they shoot you. If you say you want to be Genghis Khan, it's more convenient because you kill the others, what does it matter to you.

If you do evil it's easy, if you try to do good for the others it's more difficult.

"Well then, what must I fulfil?" You must fulfil the inner nature that you feel, listen to it and take heed. Which is it? Each one has their own. But what does which one mean? It means nothing, because there are all types of sentient beings and they are certainly neither good nor bad, they are what they are. All you have to do is what

your own level tells you to do. Act according to your level! “And what’s that?” If you do not know, you have to look for it even if you say you can’t feel it. If you can’t feel yourself... you don’t exist?

Even if the verb is not appropriate, how is an Angel “born”? In truth an Angel is not “born”, because the Absolute already possesses infinite Angels. The Absolute separates... apparently only one Point from Himself who is the Consciousness in every Point. How does the Absolute Separate one Point from Himself? He separates it because He Shows Him (that one Point) the Creation, in a separate way. At first the Angel always says “We”, because for Him He is with the Absolute, then He becomes aware that that We observes, watches, learns and very gradually increases the journey that will lead him to being free in separation, because in the Union we are “not” free. Separation is gradual, slow and then arranged right up to where the free path begins, human or “other”, but no longer Angel. He seems no longer an Angel, because he loses a certain “part” of the “infinitesimal fragment of the Absolute”: he never loses it! He always remains that fragment of the Absolute and carries it with him (“with him” because in space, “always” because in time). There He carries It with him without space and without time, because in the fragment the Angel is still Angel; then he becomes a common sentient being and then... whatever he becomes it’s all the same. And then, for some “others”, understands Thusness and returns peaceful and serene in the freedom to be what he was.

What relationship is there between the Angel who “sees” and the sentient being that follows the path backwards? One, it is only a condition of “Spiritual Body” because the Nature of All Things is only spiritual. The Absolute is All Infinite Spirit, Pure Spirit. It is a matter of fact for those who believe. The other becomes an acquired fact, because through the space-time dimensions that you will be experiencing you experience the actual realisation of that choice and the freedom that you have. Freedom and choice is experienced only because there are demons in the infinite creation, and eternal. What’s intriguing is to wander about the countless universes. After which you just become a “playful idler” today, a “playful idler” tomorrow, you choose which path to follow: to continue to be a “playful idler”? Or to be concerned with clarifying the Nature of All Things, the Reality, the Truth to the others?

Only that you can clarify as much as you like and the others can take no notice as much as they like. What do you want to do, make them become Angels against their will? It’s not allowed by the freedom that God willed. Demons against their will? They choose for themselves, why should you help? They ask for help? Give it to them always. It’s all the same. There are those who ask for help on one side and those who ask on the other side, they need to be helped. Unfortunately, to help everybody you must have no “mercy” for anyone. Pity is an ugly sentiment, it is not love. Even you would feel disturbed to excite pity in anyone.

We are trying to put an end to hunger in the world but this seems strange in a world like this, it makes one suspicious...

Our objective must be pure; if it is pure we will achieve something. We must always be pure in what we determine to do, even if the adventure is long, exhausting and dangerous and this implies the fact that we must be very determined inside. Some more, some less, some waver... it’s all right if you are still “vacillating bowls”. Some are beginning to be more stable in pursuing an objective. Let us try going all the way, in pursuing our goals each one will suffer whatever they have to suffer. It’s a problem telling someone who is not eternal that they have to be patient. Relax, don’t worry, proceed, do your utmost, whether it ends well or ends badly. Unfortunately, those who experience damnation have created their own damnation,

those who experience serenity have created it themselves, those who experience Thusness have found it themselves. But this, I repeat, does not mean becoming superheroes and not suffering any more. We need to be immersed. Everything “matches up in the Base”, as the Base goes, so goes for all things.

We must concern ourselves only with the good of others and with our own, Taking care of the others does not mean being shamefully distant, but being “immersed” in the others with all that it entails: harm, anger, hate, misunderstandings... and all that is a Nature of All things that someone would like to “serve up” in one way and instead, at times, it’s in another.

In the Base we do not change the designed prospects: for example, do you want to work for hunger in the world? In all the world, some with greater determination, some less, just like bowls varying in movements; some have made a greater effort, some small, some have invested all of themselves: you have committed yourself towards the value of hunger in the world and that is what counts.

In the end we all “come to our tangled knots” and get to “see” what the situation is. Were you committed? Great results! “But children are still dying from hunger!” Have you made your greatest effort? That’s it. The end. Do You know that this is of no use (people at risk have increased in the world)? That’s it. You have done your bit. That’s it. The bill comes at the end. Did you act as waiters? Good! Now comes the Host (the Absolute) with all the bills for the various tables: the one where they gamble, where they cheat... The Host always comes with the bill. Were you waiters (did you make an effort because you wanted things to go in a certain way)? Continue being waiters. Learn, and leave the Host do the bills. Were you committed? That’s sufficient.

With patient wait, prepare and carry the plates to the sentient beings and wait for them to nourish themselves. Then, for each one of them, wait for the Absolute to bring the bill. What’s it to you? Where’s the problem? Sometimes you were an oscillating bowl? Sometimes you believed and sometimes you didn’t? It doesn’t matter, it’s normal. Sometimes you were an egotist? It doesn’t matter. You did what you felt you had to? Perfect, don’t worry! Did you serve? Good. Did you waver? Where’s the problem? Everyone oscillates. And if you, who act like a Realized-One, don’t oscillate how can you understand the oscillations of the others?

Each game finishes with death and the next life.

A long time ago an old man said: “when a sentient being commits suicide, I see a light being extinguished in the sky, a star. There are many, many are not aware but some are”.

Unfortunately, some beings cannot bear the error and path they have chosen. Never chose the direction of hatred, never. Try to love always and answer positively to all things, never hate. Hate is “darkness”. When something happens and somebody acts in good faith, we must remain in good faith, like a mirror. If there is bad faith we must be in bad faith like a mirror. We have to learn to be a mirror. We need an enormous amount of useless words.

(1) Pomponio de Algerio (Nola, 1531 – Rome, 19 August 1556) was a protestant student who was boiled alive in a cauldron full of oil by the Roman Inquisition. He claimed that the Roman Catholic Church was not the Universal Church, but a particular Church and “no Christian should restrict himself, as all particular Churches can err in some things, and this Roman Church deviates in many things from truth”, as in teaching that a Christian could save himself with deeds and “not by the blood of Christ”.

He then refused to acknowledge the Pope’s authority, as Christ only is the head of the Church, and

with regard to the sacraments he refused to acknowledge transubstantiation (the doctrine held by the Roman Catholic Church that at the moment of consecration the substance of the bread and wine in the Mass is converted into the substance of Jesus Christ's body and blood. The Eucharistic elements are no longer bread and wine but really the body and blood of Jesus Christ even though they appear to be bread and wine) claiming that "in the Eucharist and supper of the Lord we truly receive the body and blood of Christ, but through the spirit and that in that bread there is not only the accidents, but also the substance of that bread". The Venice and Mantua's ambassadors were awed by his composure during the atrocious torture of being immersed in a cauldron of boiling oil, pitch and turpentine (what demonic imagination). During those last fifteen minutes of his life he did not utter one single lament and his last words were "Suscipe, Deus meus, famulum et martyrem tuum" – "Accept, my Lord, your servant and martyr".